

Office of the Adjudicator – Broadcast Transmission Services

Report for the period 1 January 2018 – 31 March 2018

Contents:

- 1. Background
- 2. Office of the Adjudicator
- 3. Disputes and guidance
- 4. Publication of reference offers
- 5. Reporting and audit
- 6. Planned future activity

1. Background

On 11 March 2008, the Competition Commission (CC) announced its decision to allow the merger of transmission companies Arqiva and National Grid Wireless (NGW) subject to the agreement of a package of measures (undertakings) to protect the interests of their customers.

Arqiva and NGW overlap in the provision of Managed Transmission Services (MTS) and Network Access (NA) to transmitter sites and associated facilities for terrestrial television and radio broadcasters. In its final report, the CC found that Arqiva and NGW were the only active providers of MTS/NA to the UK television broadcasters. The companies were also the most significant providers of national MTS/NA to UK radio broadcasters with a combined market share of more than 85%. In both cases, prior to merger, the companies had exercised a competitive constraint on each other.

The CC concluded the merger of the two companies would lead to a "substantial lessening of competition" in broadcast transmission services, specifically in the provision of MTS/NA to television and radio broadcasters.

After consultation by the CC with Arqiva, its customers and other stakeholders, the Commission accepted certain undertakings from Arqiva on 1 September 2008.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140402141250/http://competition-commission.org.uk/inquiries/ref2007/macquarie/pdf/notice_undertakings.pdf

The Undertakings are intended to mitigate the substantial lessening of competition by protecting existing and new customers over the terms and conditions of supply, including protection against future price rises and protection against changes in non-price related areas (such as discrimination issues and service standards).

The Undertakings provide for the appointment of an Adjudicator, as described in Appendix 1 (Adjudication Scheme) and Appendix 2 (Adjudication Rules). The main role of the Adjudicator is to determine disputes arising out of the operation of the Undertakings.

Paragraph 35 of Appendix 1 to the Undertakings requires the Adjudicator to make periodic reports to the Office of Fair Trading (now the Competition and Markets Authority), copied to Ofcom, covering the following points:

- Any Guidance issued
- Determinations in relation to Disputes
- The views of the Adjudicator about the operation of the Undertakings, the Adjudication Scheme and Adjudication Rules as well as any recommendations for amendments
- The views of the Adjudicator on the performance of Arqiva in complying with the Undertakings

This report covers the operation of the Office of the Adjudicator over the period from 1 January 2018 to 31 March 2018. This report will be published on the website of the Adjudicator (www.ota-bts.org.uk) with any information that the Adjudicator regards as confidential redacted.

2. Office of the Adjudicator

2.1 Adjudicator

The Office consists of Alan Watson as Adjudicator and Jon Butler as Deputy, both are part time. Megan Donald is Executive Assistant and Office Manager, also on a part time basis.

Legal advice is provided by Mr Paul Herbert of Goodman Derrick LLP.

The Adjudicator and Deputy have vacated the office at Ofcom but Megan remains there. IT is now directly provided with a resulting change in web and e mail addresses

Website: <u>www.ota-bts.org.uk</u>

Adjudicator <u>alan.watson@ota-bts.org.uk</u>

Deputy jon.butler@ota-bts.org.uk

Exec asst megan.donald@ota-bts.org.uk

2.2 Budget

The operational budget for 2017/18 is £476,476 with a contingency of £470,000.

Expenditure to the end of the present financial year is £369,937 with no recourse to contingency.

2.3 Stakeholder Meetings

During this period regular meetings and communication with stakeholders have continued and include government departments, television broadcasters and radio broadcasters, both large and small:

Confidential information redacted

2.4 Arqiva

Paragraph 35 of Appendix 1 of the Undertakings requires the Adjudicator to comment on the performance of Arqiva over this period, in relation to the Undertakings.

The performance of Argiva continues to be generally satisfactory.

3 Disputes and Guidance

3.1 Guidance

In this period there have been no disputes requiring the use of the formal dispute procedure.

4 Publication of Reference Offers.

No new reference offers have been published in this period. Reference offers can be found at:

https://www.argiva.com/documentation/reference-offers/

The radio rate card for site access can be found at;

https://www.arqiva.com/documentation/reference-offers/broadcast-radio/Arqiva Radio Rate Card for Network Access 2017-18.pdf

5 Reporting and Audit

5.1 Regulatory Accounts

Arqiva is obliged to produce annual accounts in accordance with the requirements set out in Paragraph 15 and Appendix 14 of the Undertakings.

The accounts for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017 have been produced and approved and are available at:

https://www.argiva.com/documentation/regulatory/

The Regulatory Accounting Principles and Methodologies (RAPAMS) which set out how the accounts are produced and are approved by the Adjudicator can be found at the above link.

5.2 Compliance Report

Paragraph 18.1 of the Undertakings requires Arqiva to deliver an annual report to the Office of Fair Trading (now the Competition and Markets Authority) setting out steps taken to comply with the Undertakings and details of any breaches and including steps taken to remedy them.

The 2017 report has been delivered to the CMA, Ofcom and the Adjudicator. There were no instances of non-compliance.

5.3 The Undertakings

The Adjudicator believes that the Undertakings, the Adjudication Scheme and Adjudication Rules are satisfactory at the present time and that no changes are needed.

5.4 Information Security Strategy

Paragraph 16.2 of the Undertakings requires Arqiva to produce an Information Security Strategy which defines the measures to be taken to ensure that confidential information held in one part of the company cannot be used by another for commercial advantage.

The Information Security Strategy can be found at:

http://www.arqiva.com/documentation/corporate/arqiva-information-security-strategy-version-1.0.pdf

The Adjudicator audits the information security strategy from time to time.

6 Planned future activity

6.1 Guidance

The Adjudicator has previously issued guidance covering Paragraphs 6 and 9-12 of the Undertakings. The Adjudicator considers that no further guidance is currently required in relation to Paragraph 6 of the Undertakings.

At present the Adjudicator is of the opinion that no Guidance is required in relation to Paragraph 3 of the Undertakings. Application of, and compliance with, this paragraph will be monitored and Guidance issued at a later date if necessary.

The Adjudicator holds a series of documents produced by Ofcom which cover detailed guidance for the production of reference offers. Some of this is specific to the now historic

high power DTT reference offer and so the Adjudicator has now prepared and published a summary document which covers the aspects which constitute current guidance.

http://www.adjudicator-bts.org.uk/guidance.htm

6.2 Audits

Arqiva use a database system called KEEP to maintain site infrastructure records. The KEEP database records a variety of site data which includes information relating to the height, type and location of antenna systems. Antenna information from KEEP is used to determine the loading which the antenna system places upon the site mast or tower. This loading (windloading) is used to calculate a part of the charges to the users of the antenna systems.

In March 2016, the Office of the Adjudicator BTS undertook the first audit of the accuracy of the KEEP data, the second audit was undertaken in June 2016. Twelve transmitter sites were visited for the assessment of 1343 antennas, the electricity use of 56 transmitter systems and the accommodation allocated to 12 transmitter systems. The audit tests showed that 256 antenna observations were not reasonably consistent with the KEEP records while both the electricity use and accommodation use were reasonably consistent with Arqiva's records. The 256 antennas were predominantly microwave dishes for non-broadcast use and while these data inconsistencies may impact the calculation of some charges to Broadcasters the overall impact was found to be minimal.

In May 2016 Arqiva produced an action plan to address inconsistencies in the antenna records and in September 2017 a third audit of six sites was undertaken by the Adjudicator. The selection of these six sites includes two which were included in a previous audit, two which had been surveyed by Arqiva and two which had neither been audited or surveyed.

The site observations in the September 2017 audit showed a similar number of discrepancies to Arqiva's KEEP records when compared to previous audits in March 2016 and June 2016. In the September 2017 audit, 743 site observations were compared to Arqiva's KEEP records. Of these 743 antennas, 136 were recorded as not reasonably consistent with Arqiva records

Arqiva worked with the Adjudicator in the analysis of the findings and note that ... 'Despite positive action since the last audit, Arqiva is disappointed that this audit has picked up several data record issues and we recognise that there is still work to do to carry on improving our antenna data records. We note that the observations have a very small impact on some customer charges, both positive and negative.....'

The full audit report was provided as an annex to the last report.

A further KEEP audit is planned for Autumn 2018

6.3 700 MHz clearance.

The Adjudicator has no formal role in the 700MHz clearance programme but some aspects do come within the remit.

6.4 Analogue Radio.

The BBC has closed 13 Medium Wave (MF) transmitters. Some of these sites are shared with other broadcasters. The Adjudicator has investigated the implications of the closure to the BBC and other broadcasters, and, to date, has not found any issues which require intervention from the Adjudicator.